

Vector norms

Vector norms

A **norm** is a way to measure the **length** or **distance from $\mathbf{0}$** of a vector. It generalizes the absolute value. Properties:

1. $\|\mathbf{v}\| \geq 0$, with equality iff $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$;
2. $\|\mathbf{v}\alpha\| = \|\mathbf{v}\|\alpha|$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;
3. $\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v}\| + \|\mathbf{w}\|$.

Many examples:

- ▶ $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\infty} := \max_j |v_j|$;
- ▶ $\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 := \sum_j |v_j|$;
- ▶ ...

2-norm

Our preferred norm: 2-norm, or **Euclidean** norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \cdots + v_m^2} = \sqrt{\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{v}} = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}.$$

(The subscript 2 is often omitted.)

Coincides with the concept of **length** in geometry.

Trivial but useful observation

Every vector is a multiple of a norm-1 vector:

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{u}, \text{ where } \alpha = \|\mathbf{v}\|_2 \text{ and } \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{v}.$$

Orthogonal matrices

Why is the 2-norm nice? Because there are many matrices U that preserve it:

Orthogonal matrices

A **square** $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is called **orthogonal** if:

- ▶ $U^T U = I$,
- ▶ $U U^T = I$,
- ▶ $U^{-1} = U^T$.

(Each one of these properties implies the others.)

Property

If U is orthogonal, then $\|U\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$, and more generally $(U\mathbf{x})^T (U\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}$.

Geometric idea The transformation associated to U is a rotation or a mirror symmetry: lengths and angles are preserved.

Orthogonal vs. orthonormal

The columns $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$ of an orthogonal matrix $U = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \mathbf{u}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{u}_m]$ are **orthonormal** (why?):

$$\mathbf{u}_i^\top \mathbf{u}_j = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j, \\ 1 & i = j. \end{cases}$$

... and so are its rows.

Sometimes, vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$ such that $\mathbf{u}_i^\top \mathbf{u}_j = 0$ when $i \neq j$, without the second condition, are called **orthogonal**. This may be confusing.

Product of orthogonal matrices

The product of two orthogonal matrices is an orthogonal matrix.

Simple to verify if you remember a couple of facts from linear algebra:

'Shoe-sock property'

For any two matrices A, B :

- ▶ $(AB)^{\top} = B^{\top}A^{\top}$
- ▶ $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ (when A, B are square invertible)

Indeed,

$$(UV)^{-1} = V^{-1}U^{-1} = V^{\top}U^{\top} = (UV)^{\top}.$$

Orthogonal columns

We will often work with **tall thin** rectangular matrices with orthonormal columns:

$$U_0 = [\mathbf{u}_1 \quad \mathbf{u}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{u}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}. \quad (m \geq n)$$

They are the first block of an orthogonal matrix: $U = [U_0 \quad U_c]$.

Some exercises to get used to them:

1. Does $U_0^\top U_0 = I$? Does $U_0 U_0^\top = I$? (Which sizes would those I 's need to be?)
2. Does $\|U_0 \mathbf{v}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|_2$ hold for each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$?
3. Does $\|\mathbf{w}^\top U_0\| = \|\mathbf{w}^\top\|$ hold for each $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^m$?

(Hint for proofs: consider blocks of

$$[U_1 \quad U_2]^\top [U_1 \quad U_2] = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.)$$

Eigenvalues / vectors

Given a **square** matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, if $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then we call λ **eigenvalue** and \mathbf{v} **eigenvector** of A .

Remember from linear algebra: almost all matrices A can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A = V\Lambda V^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_m \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{v}_1\lambda_1\mathbf{w}_1^T + \mathbf{v}_2\lambda_2\mathbf{w}_2^T + \cdots + \mathbf{v}_m\lambda_m\mathbf{w}_m^T. \end{aligned}$$

(Here, $\mathbf{w}_i^T = \text{rows of } V^{-1}$.)

Geometric idea: in a suitable basis, A is diagonal.

$[V, D] = \text{eig}(A)$ costs $O(m^3)$, in practice. We won't see algorithms here.

What do eigenvalues tell us

Behavior under repeated application of a matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} A^k \mathbf{x} &= (V\Lambda V^{-1})(V\Lambda V^{-1}) \dots (V\Lambda V^{-1}) = V\Lambda^k V^{-1} \mathbf{x} \\ &= V \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^k & & & \\ & \lambda_2^k & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \lambda_m^k \end{bmatrix} V^{-1} \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

More generally:

Theorem

For any polynomial $p(x) = p_0 + p_1x + p_2x^2 + \dots + p_dx^d$,

$$p(A) = p_0I + p_1A + \dots + p_dA^d = V \begin{bmatrix} p(\lambda_1) & & & \\ & p(\lambda_2) & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & p(\lambda_m) \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}.$$

What can go wrong

Eigenvalues are well-defined for each matrix (up to reordering).

Eigenvectors are not:

- ▶ If \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector, any multiple $\alpha\mathbf{v}$ is, too.
- ▶ If \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} are two eigenvectors with **the same** (repeated) eigenvalue λ , then any linear combination $\alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{w}$ is, too.
- ▶ Extreme case: any vector is an eigenvector of the identity matrix: $I = VIV^{-1}$ for any invertible V .

Some matrices have only **complex** eigenvalues: $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Some do not have enough eigenvectors to form a basis: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Neat result: for **symmetric matrices**, nothing goes wrong.

Spectral theorem

If $A = A^T$, we can **always** find U, Λ s.t. $A = U\Lambda U^{-1}$. Moreover, eigenvalues λ_i are **all real**, and **we can choose** U orthogonal.

Quadratic forms

For a fixed symmetric matrix $Q = Q^T$, consider $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x}$.

Geometric idea: paraboloids. See more with prof. Frangioni.

Theorem

Let Q be a symmetric matrix with minimum and maximum eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}$. For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\lambda_{\min} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq \mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} \leq \lambda_{\max} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2.$$

Proof: First an easy case: $Q = \Lambda$ diagonal,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m x_m^2.$$

If we replace all λ_i with λ_{\min} it gets smaller, and vice versa.

General case: $\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T (U \Lambda U^T) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}^T \Lambda \mathbf{c}$ for $\mathbf{c} = U^T \mathbf{x}$ with $\|\mathbf{c}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|$.

Positive definiteness

Note: given \mathbf{x} , $\mathbf{c} = U^T \mathbf{x} = U^{-1} \mathbf{x}$ is the vector of its **coordinates** in the basis of eigenvectors U .

Theorem

$$\lambda_{\min} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq \mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} \leq \lambda_{\max} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2, \text{ or } \lambda_{\min} \leq \frac{\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \leq \lambda_{\max}$$

or, alternatively,

$$\lambda_{\min} \leq \mathbf{u}^T Q \mathbf{u} \leq \lambda_{\max} \text{ for each } \mathbf{u} \text{ with } \|\mathbf{u}\| = 1.$$

In particular,

If $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for each eigenvalue of Q , then $\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} \geq 0$ for each vector \mathbf{x} (Q is called **positive semidefinite**, $Q \succeq 0$).

If $\lambda_i > 0$ for each eigenvalue of Q , then $\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} > 0$ for each vector $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ (Q is called **positive definite**, $Q \succ 0$).

Moreover, these are 'if and only if'. (Why? When does equality hold in the theorem?)

Properties of $A^T A$

For any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (possibly rectangular), $A^T A$ is a valid product and gives a square, symmetric matrix. (Why?)

$A^T A$ is positive semidefinite: because $\mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} = \|A\mathbf{x}\|^2 \geq 0$.

The same properties hold also for AA^T . (Why?)

(Matlab examples)

Complex matrices

Most of these properties work also for matrices with complex entries, with one change: **replace each A^T with $\overline{A^T}$** (transpose + entrywise conjugate). Often denoted with A^* or A^H .

$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x} = \overline{x_1}x_1 + \overline{x_2}x_2 + \cdots + \overline{x_m}x_m = |x_1|^2 + \cdots + |x_m|^2$,
which is always real ≥ 0 .

Some terminology changes:

$UU^* = I$: **unitary** matrix.

$Q = Q^*$: **Hermitian** matrix (capital letter, after Charles Hermite).

Exercises

1. Can an orthogonal matrix have an entry $U_{ij} > 1$? Why?
2. When is a diagonal matrix orthogonal?
3. When is an upper triangular matrix orthogonal? (Hint: consider the diagonal entries in $U^T U = U U^T = I$.)
4. Is the inverse of an orthogonal matrix orthogonal?
5. Assume λ is an eigenvalue of an orthogonal matrix; show that $|\lambda| = 1$.
6. What are eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a diagonal matrix?
7. Can you find vectors that attain the two equality cases in $\lambda_{\min} \leq \frac{\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \leq \lambda_{\max}$?

Reference: Trefethen-Bau book, chapter 2