Solvability of least squares problems

Linear systems:  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$  with A square: unique solution if A nonsingular

Linear least squares problems:  $\min ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$  with A tall thin: unique solution if...?

Example:

$$\min \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution: We can 'match' the first three entries (but not the 4th).  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  solves the problem. But also  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Or  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ ...

# Full column rank definition

What is going on: there is a vector  $\mathbf{z} \neq 0$  in ker A:  $A \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$ 

If  ${\boldsymbol x}$  is a solution, then so is  ${\boldsymbol x}+{\boldsymbol z}, {\boldsymbol x}+2{\boldsymbol z}, {\boldsymbol x}-37{\boldsymbol z}\dots$ 

#### Definition

We say that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  has full column rank if ker  $A = \{0\}$ , or, equivalently: rank A = n, or, equivalently: there is no  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \neq 0$  such that Az = 0.

We shall see, via several equivalent conditions, that the least squares problem  $\min ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$  has a unique solution if and only if A has full column rank.

# Criterion for full column rank

#### Theorem

A has full column rank if and only if  $A^T A$  is positive definite.

We already saw (lecture on orthogonal matrices) that  $A^T A$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite.

For each 
$$\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$$
,  $\mathbf{z}^T A^T A \mathbf{z} = \|A\mathbf{z}\|^2 \ge \mathbf{0}$ .

Proof: A full column rank  $\iff A\mathbf{z} \neq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{z} \neq 0 \iff \mathbf{z}^T A^T A \mathbf{z} = ||A\mathbf{z}||^2 \neq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{z} \neq 0$ 

We can test the matrix from our earlier example, using eigenvalues.

```
>> A = [1 -1 0; 2 1 3; 1 0 1; 0 0 0];
>> eig(A'*A)
ans =
    2.6232e-16
    2.0718e+00
    1.5928e+01
```

#### Least squares problems — solution

Suppose A has full column rank. Then  $\min ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$  can also be written as

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 &= \min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^T (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^T A^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} \right) \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} \end{split}$$

We have transformed the problem into the one of finding the minimum of a quadratic function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  — sounds familiar?

## Some optimization

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} A^{\mathsf{T}} A \, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}} A \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} \\ \text{Gradient } A^{\mathsf{T}} A \, \mathbf{x} - A^{\mathsf{T}} \, \mathbf{y}. \\ \text{Hessian } A^{\mathsf{T}} A \succ 0. \rightarrow \text{strictly convex!} \end{split}$$

The minimum exists unique, and can be found with

$$0 = \text{gradient} = A^T A \mathbf{x} - A^T \mathbf{y},$$

or

$$A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{y}.$$

 $A^T A$  is square invertible (because it's positive definite), so this linear system has a unique solution.

Can be solved with many methods: Gaussian elimination, LU factorization, QR (you'll see it soon),...

### Computational cost

If done naively: (for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , m > n, ignoring lower-order terms)

- 1. Computing  $A^T A$ :  $2mn^2$ .
- 2. Computing  $A^T \mathbf{y}$ : 2mn (lower-order).
- 3. Solving  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{y}$  with Gaussian elimination / LU factorization:  $\frac{2}{3}n^3$ .

Trick 1 using symmetry, we can skip half of the entries of  $A^T A$ . Trick 2 a better way to solve linear systems with posdef matrices, Cholesky factorization,  $A^T A = R^T R$  (we'll see it later).

- 1. Computing  $A^T A$ :  $mn^2$ .
- 2. Computing  $A^T \mathbf{y}$ : 2mn (lower-order).
- 3. Solving  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{y}$  with Cholesky:  $\frac{1}{3}n^3$ .

## Geometric idea

TL;DR: can't solve  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ ? Multiply both sides by  $A^T$  and try again!

Geometric idea The residual  $A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$  is orthogonal to any vector  $A\mathbf{v} \in \text{span } A$ :  $(A\mathbf{v})^T (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = 0$ .

This method to solve LS problems is known as method of normal equations ('normal' is a fancy word for 'perpendicular/orthogonal').

#### Pseudoinverse

We showed that the solution of min $||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||$  is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_* = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{y}$$

(if A has full column rank).

#### Definition

The (Moore-Penrose) pseudoinverse of a matrix A with full column rank is  $A^+ := (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$ .

So we can write  $\mathbf{x} = A^+ \mathbf{y}$  for the solution of a LS problem. This generalizes the concept of inverse  $A^{-1}$  to a non-square A.

Non-obvious consequence: the solution is always obtained by multiplying **y** by a certain matrix. In particular, the solution of  $\min ||A\mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2)||$  is the sum of the two solutions of  $\min ||A\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{y}_1||$  and  $\min ||A\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}_2||$ .

Note that  $A^+A = I_n$ , but  $AA^+ \neq I_m$  (there is no matrix such that  $AA^+ = I_m$ , for rank reasons.)

## The other side

Sometimes in ML the same problem is formulated with multiplications on the other side:  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$  row vector of unknown weights,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  matrix with each "feature" as a row,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times m}$  target (row) vector:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{w}X - \mathbf{y}\|_2.$$

This is the same problem, apart from notation. If  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is short-fat  $(n \le m)$  with linearly independent rows, then its pseudoinverse is defined as

$$X^+ = X^T (XX^T)^{-1}.$$

(Mnemonic: you must invert a matrix with the small dimension as its side.)

#### Exercises

- 1. Can a short-fat matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , n > m, have full column rank, i.e., rk A = n?
- 2. Write  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_* + \mathbf{z}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_* = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  is an arbitrary vector, and show with algebraic manipulations that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_*^T A^T A \mathbf{x}_* + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^T A^T A \mathbf{z} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}.$$

Use this formula to give another proof that  $\boldsymbol{x}_*$  is the solution of the minimum problem.

3. Take a simple linear least squares problem, e.g.  $\min \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2$ . Try to solve it numerically with Matlab using gradient descent, which you saw in prof. Frangioni's lectures, and compare the iterates  $\mathbf{x}_k$  with the exact solution  $\mathbf{x}_*$ . How many iterations do you need to get within, for instance,  $10^{-5}$  of the exact solution?

Book references: Trefethen-Bau, Lecture 11; Demmel, Sections 3.1, 3.2; Eldén, Section 3.6.