

## QR factorization

There is a different algorithm to solve least-squares problems based on a different matrix factorization, the **QR factorization**.

Not as powerful / revealing as SVD, but easier to compute. We shall see its computation in detail.

**Idea** Mix Gaussian elimination / LU factorization with **orthogonal** transformations.

First, we start with an easy case.

## The case of a vector

### Problem

Given  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , find an orthogonal matrix  $Q$  such that  $Q\mathbf{x}$  is of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} s \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = s\mathbf{e}_1$ .

(We call  $\mathbf{e}_j$  the  $j$ th column of  $I$ .)

**Remark** Since orthogonal matrices preserve norm,  $s$  can only be  $\pm\|\mathbf{x}\|$ .

# Householder reflectors

## Lemma

For every  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , the matrix  $H = I - \frac{2}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$  is orthogonal *and* symmetric .

Written also  $I - \frac{2}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$ , or  $I - 2\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^T$  where  $\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \mathbf{v}$  has norm 1.

**Proof:** verify directly  $HH^T = I$  and  $H = H^T$ .

**Geometric idea:** these are **reflections** (mirroring) with respect to the plane perpendicular to  $\mathbf{v}$ . Check for instance the case

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Cost-saving trick** Rearrange parentheses! For each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  we can compute  $H\mathbf{x} = (I - 2\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^T)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} - 2\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x})$  in  $\mathcal{O}(m)$ , and  $HA$  for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  in  $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$ .

## Where can we get by reflecting

### Lemma

Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be two vectors such that  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\|$ . If one chooses  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ , then  $H = I - \frac{2}{\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$  is such that  $H\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ .

**Proof:** boring algebra: substitute  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{v}$ , clear denominators and expand.

**Geometric idea:** reflecting through the plane perpendicular to  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$  sends  $\mathbf{x}$  into  $\mathbf{y}$ .

In particular, we can take  $\mathbf{y} = \|\mathbf{x}\| \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \|\mathbf{x}\| \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

## Matlab implementation

```
function [u, s] = householder_vector(x)
s = norm(x);
v = x;
v(1) = v(1) - s;
u = v / norm(v);
```

Testing it:

```
>> x = randn(4,1);
>> [u, s] = householder_vector(x);
>> x - 2*u*(u'*x)
ans =
    2.2541e+00
         0
   -1.1102e-16
         0
>> s
s =
    2.2541e+00
```

## An extreme example

```
>> format short e
>> x = [1e4; 1e-6; 1e-6; 1e-6]
x =
    1.0000e+04
    1.0000e-06
    1.0000e-06
    1.0000e-06
>> [u, s] = householder_vector(x);
>> x - 2*u*(u'*x)
ans =
    1.0000e+04
   -1.0000e-06
   -1.0000e-06
   -1.0000e-06
```

The transformed vector is still at relative distance  $10^{-10} \gg u$  from being a multiple of  $\mathbf{e}_1$ ; we did not improve things.

## Reason for instability

**Problem:** subtracting two almost-equal values  $\rightarrow$  cancellation.

```
>> x(1), norm(x), x(1) - norm(x)
ans =
    10000
ans =
    10000
ans =
     0
```

Small relative errors in the computation of `norm(x)` (e.g., computing  $\|x\|(1 + \varepsilon)$  instead) cause huge relative errors on  $u_1$ .

To improve stability, we make a small modification: we choose

- ▶  $s = -\|x\|$  whenever  $x_1 \geq 0$ .
- ▶  $s = \|x\|$  whenever  $x_1 < 0$ .

In this way,  $x_1 - s$  always sums two numbers with the **same sign**.

## Solution

```
function [u, s] = householder_vector(x)
s = norm(x);
if x(1) >= 0, s = -s; end
v = x;
v(1) = v(1) - s;
u = v / norm(v);
```


Now that example works better:

```
>> x = [1e4; 1e-6; 1e-6; 1e-6];
>> [u, s] = householder_vector(x);
>> x - 2*u*(u'*x)
ans =
    -10000
         0
         0
         0
```



# QR factorization

## Theorem

For every  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , there exist  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  orthogonal,  $R$  upper triangular (i.e.,  $i > j \implies R_{ij} = 0$ , or ) such that  $A = QR$ .

Most interesting case for us:  $m \geq n$  (square or tall-thin).

Note that we have already solved the case  $n = 1$ :  $\mathbf{x} = H(\mathbf{se}_1)$  is a QR factorization.

**Idea:** work like in Gaussian elimination / LU factorization: use **orthogonal** matrices to transform  $A$  into an upper triangular matrix, one column at a time.

## QR factorization via Householder matrices

We start from  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

**Step 1:** take  $[\mathbf{u}_1, s_1] = \text{householder\_vector}(A(:, 1))$  to get

$$H_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} =: A_1.$$

How can we introduce more zeros **without spoiling** those already computed in the first column?

**Idea** Left-multiply by a matrix of the form  $Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & H_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . It leaves the first row **unchanged** and multiplies the others by  $H_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-1) \times (m-1)}$ .

**Step 2:** take  $[\mathbf{u}_2, s_2] = \text{householder\_vector}(A_1(:, 1))$ , and compute

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & H_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_2 & C_2 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_2 & C_2 \\ 0 & H_2 D_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & s_2 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_3 & C_3 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Continue...

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ 0 & H_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_3 & C_3 \\ 0 & D_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_3 & C_3 \\ 0 & H_3 D_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & s_2 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & s_3 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{3 \times 3} & 0 \\ 0 & H_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_4 & C_4 \\ 0 & D_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_4 & C_4 \\ 0 & H_4 D_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & s_2 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & s_3 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

After the  $n$ th step ( $n =$  number of columns), we have a sequence of orthogonal matrices such that  $Q_n \cdots Q_3 Q_2 Q_1 A = R$  is triangular.

$$A = \underbrace{(Q_1^T Q_2^T \cdots Q_n^T)}_{:=Q} R.$$

(Recall: products of orthogonal matrices is orthogonal.)

## Matlab implementation

```
function [Q, R] = myqr(A)
[m, n] = size(A);
R = A;
Q = eye(m);
for k = 1:n
    % invariant: Q*R = A
    u = householder_vector(R(k:end, k));
    H = eye(length(u)) - 2*u*u';
    A(k:end,k:end) = H * R(k:end,k:end);
    Q(:, k:end) = Q(:, k:end) * H;
end
```

This is still not the final version of the algorithm!

**Problem:** as written here, it would have **quartic** cost ( $\mathcal{O}(m^4)$  for a square matrix).

## Optimizations

**Huge** optimization: don't form  $H$ : use  $HA_k = A_k - 2u(u^T A_k)$ .

This optimization brings down the cost from **quartic** to **cubic**.

**Minor** optimization: write  $s$  and zeros manually in  $A(k:end, k)$ .

**Detail**: if  $A$  is square, we can stop after step  $n - 1$ ; the matrix is already upper triangular.

## Rectangular QR

If  $m \gg n$ , like for SVD, computing/storing  $Q$  is expensive.

**Thin QR** (like thin SVD): restrict to  $Q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $R_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} Q_0 & Q_c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Q_0 R_0.$$

There are two alternatives for handling  $Q_0$  without forming the big matrix  $Q$ :

- ▶ Just return the  $\mathbf{u}_i$ 's: the implicit form  $Q = Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_n$ ,  $Q_k = \text{blkdiag}(I_{k-1}, I - 2\mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{u}_k^T)$  is not an array full of numbers, but still you can perform operations such as matrix products at the same cost, or even cheaper.
- ▶ In particular, you can use the  $\mathbf{u}_k$ 's to compute  $Q \begin{bmatrix} I_n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Q_0$ .

## Cost

Computational cost of **thin** QR factorization via Householder reflectors (assuming  $m \geq n$ ):  $2mn^2 - \frac{2}{3}n^3 + \mathcal{O}(mn)$  flops.

More important than this exact formula is its behavior in two common regimes:

- ▶  $\frac{4}{3}n^3$  for **square** matrices ( $m = n$ ).
- ▶ Scales like  $2mn^2$  when  $m \gg n$  (tall-thin  $A$ ).

**Book references:** Trefethen-Bau, Lecture 10. Demmel, Sec. 3.4.1.

## Exercises

1. Check the “boring algebra” in the proof that  $H\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ .
2. Is the QR factorization unique? (Hint: play with signs).
3. Can you identify (without computation) a QR of a matrix with zero structure  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$ ? (Hint: swapping rows is an orthogonal transformation).
4. Show that if  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is singular (non-invertible), then its QR factor  $R$  has a zero diagonal entry. (Hint: determinants!)
5.  $\star$  Suppose that a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is ‘upper triangular plus one more diagonal’, e.g.,  $\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$  (these are called *Hessenberg matrices*). Can you modify the algorithm so that it has cost only  $O(m^2)$  for matrices with this structure?
6. For a square  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , what does the last step  $k = m$  of QR factorization do?