## 1 Identity-plus-rank-1 matrices

Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be vectors. Recall that the product  $u^*v$  produces a scalar (i.e., a number,  $u^*v \in \mathbb{C}$ ), while  $vu^*$  produces a  $n \times n$  matrix whose columns are all multiples of v (i.e., a rank-1 matrix).

A square matrix of the form  $M = I + vu^*$  is called *identity plus rank 1*, or rank-1 perturbation of the identity matrix, or sometimes also elementary matrix.

Why are these matrices useful? First of all, it is easy to compute the product Mx for any vector x in time  $\Theta(n)$ : since  $Mx = (I + vu^*)x = x + v(u^*x)$ , and  $u^*x$  is a scalar, a simple algorithm is the following (in Matlab).

Normally, the product between a  $n \times n$  matrix and a vector costs  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , and set  $M = I + vu^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Then, M is invertible if and only if  $u^*v \neq -1$ , and in this case its inverse is  $M^{-1} = I - \frac{1}{1+u^*v}vu^*$ 

The fact to remember here is that the inverse of an identity-plus-rank-1 matrix is still a matrix of the same form,  $M^{-1} = I + \alpha v u^*$ , for some scalar  $\alpha$ . If we need to remember the exact value of  $\alpha$ , we can get the exact value of  $\alpha$  by expanding the product

$$MM^{-1} = (I + vu^{*})(I + \alpha vu^{*})$$
  
= I + vu^{\*} + \alpha vu^{\*} + vu^{\*} \alpha vu^{\*}  
= I + vu^{\*} + \alpha vu^{\*} + \alpha v(u^{\*}v)u^{\*}  
= I + (1 + \alpha + \alpha u^{\*}v)vu^{\*},

where we have used the fact that  $\alpha$  and  $u^*v$  are scalar and so we can move them in any position in the product. For this matrix to be equal to the identity, we need  $1 + \alpha + \alpha u^*v$ , which we can solve in  $\alpha$  to get  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{1+u^*v}$ .

We can turn this argument into a formal proof with minor changes.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us first consider the case  $v^*u \neq -1$ . We set  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{1+v^*u}$ and  $N = I + \alpha v u^*$ . It holds that

$$MN = I + (1 + \alpha + \alpha u^* v)vu^*$$

following the same steps as above. With our choice of  $\alpha$  we have  $(1+\alpha+\alpha u^*v)=0$ , so the right-hand side reduces to I. This shows that MN=I, hence N is the inverse of M.

Since we formulated the theorem with an 'if and only if', we still need to show that if  $u^*v = -1$  then M is not invertible. In this case we compute

$$Mv = (I + vu^*)v = v + v(u^*v) = v - v = 0,$$

so we can use the following fact from linear algebra: for any square matrix M, if there exists a nonzero vector v such that Mv = 0 then M is not invertible.

This last part assumes  $v \neq 0$ ; but the case v = 0 is trivial since in this case M = I, and we can verify the claim of the theorem directly.

For a generic  $n \times n$  matrix, finding the inverse costs  $\Theta(n^3)$ , instead.