

Lanczos = symmetric Arnoldi

If A is symmetric ($A = A^T$), then H_n is symmetric for each n and hence it is **tridiagonal**.

```
A = bucky(); b = randn(size(A, 1), 1);  
[Q, H] = arnoldi(bucky, b, 40);  
spy(H);
```

Indeed, $H_{ji} = q_j^T A q_i = (q_j^T A q_i)^T = q_i^T A q_j = H_{ij}$.

So we can shorten the orthogonalization loop:

```
w = A * Q(:, j);  
for i = j-1:j % only 2 vectors to check  
    %and actually we already know H(j-1,j)=H(j,j-1)  
    H(i,j) = Q(:, i)' * w;  
    w = w - H(i,j) * Q(:, i);  
end
```

Reduces the cost to n matrix products + $O(mn)$.

Lanczos iteration, used to compute eigenvalues/vectors.

Conjugate gradient

Suppose $A = A^T$ is **positive definite**. Then, we can find the solution to $Ax = b$ by minimizing the (strictly convex) function $f(x) = x^T Ax - b^T x$.

Surprisingly, **conjugate gradient** on this problem can be interpreted as a Krylov subspace method.

Three ingredients: current iterate x_k , residual $r_k = b - Ax_k = -\nabla f(x_k)$, and search direction d_k .

CG iteration

$x_0 = 0, r_0 = d_0 = b;$

for $k = 1:n$ **do**

$$\alpha_k = (r_{k-1}^T r_{k-1}) / (d_{k-1}^T A d_{k-1});$$

$$x_k = x_{k-1} + \alpha_k d_{k-1};$$

$$r_k = r_{k-1} - \alpha_k A d_{k-1}; \quad // \text{ check it!}$$

$$\beta_k = (r_k^T r_k) / (r_{k-1}^T r_{k-1});$$

$$d_k = r_k + \beta_k d_{k-1}; \quad // \text{ A-orthogonalize search dir}$$

end

CG — Considerations

Storage: 3 vectors: x_k, r_k, d_k . No need to keep whole 'history'.

Cost: n mat-vec products (Ad_{k-1}) plus $O(nm)$.

Where are the Krylov subspaces? At each step k ,

$$\begin{aligned}K_k(A, b) &= \text{span}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \text{span}(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{k-1}) \\ &= \text{span}(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}).\end{aligned}$$

(We sketch what happens in the first steps.)

CG — Orthogonality

Where are the orthogonal bases?

At each step $r_k^T r_i = d_k^T A d_i = 0$ for all $i < k$.

I.e., the r_i are orthogonal, and the d_i are A -orthogonal.

Proof (sketch) Suppose (induction) it holds up to step $k - 1$.

From $r_k = r_{k-1} - \alpha_k A d_{k-1}$ it follows that

$$r_i^T r_k = r_i^T r_{k-1} - \alpha_k r_i^T A d_{k-1}.$$

If $i < k - 1$, then $r_i^T r_{k-1}$ is zero by induction and $r_i \in \text{span}(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_i)$ is A -orthogonal to d_{k-1} .

If $i = k - 1$, then the RHS is zero provided $\alpha_k = \frac{r_{k-1}^T r_{k-1}}{r_{k-1}^T A d_{k-1}}$. The formula we gave was slightly different: at the denominator we had $d_{k-1}^T A d_{k-1}$ instead. But since $d_{k-1} = r_{k-1} + \beta_{k-1} d_{k-2}$, the difference between the two denominators is $\beta_{k-1} d_{k-2}^T A d_{k-1} = 0$ (by induction). The second part is similar.

CG — orthogonal bases

The r_i are an orthogonal (but not orthonormal!) basis of $K_k(A, b)$ at each step k . In particular, $\frac{1}{\|r_i\|} r_i$ coincides (up to a sign) with the q_i obtained with Arnoldi.

Last ingredient: what do we solve at each step? Not $\min_{z \in K_k(A, b)} \|Az - b\|$ like in GMRES, but

$$x_k \text{ is the solution of } \min_{z \in K_k(A, b)} (x - z)^T A(x - z).$$

where x is the true solution of $Ax = b$.

Indeed, let $z = x_k + y$, and note that $A(x - x_k) = b - Ax_k = r_k$ is orthogonal to each $y \in K_k(A, b)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (x - z)^T A(x - z) &= (x - x_k)^T A(x - x_k) + (x - x_k)^T Ay + y^T A(x - x_k) \\ &\quad + y^T Ay \\ &= (x - x_k)^T A(x - x_k) + y^T Ay \geq (x - x_k)^T A(x - x_k). \end{aligned}$$

Convergence of CG

First result: let λ_{\max} , λ_{\min} be the maximum/minimum eigenvalue of A ; then, CG converges with rate

$$\|x - x_k\| \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}} - \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}} + \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}}} \right)^k \|x - x_0\|.$$

(we won't see a proof).

We can rewrite it in terms of a more familiar quantity: for a positive definite matrix, eigenvalues and singular values coincide, hence

$$\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}} - \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}} + \sqrt{\lambda_{\min}}} = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_1} - \sqrt{\sigma_m}}{\sqrt{\sigma_1} + \sqrt{\sigma_m}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_m}} - 1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_m}} + 1} = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa(A)} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa(A)} + 1}.$$

For large values of $\kappa(A)$, this is approximately $1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa(A)}}$.

Convergence of CG

Again, that is a worst-case result — usually, convergence is faster and depends on the **eigenvalues of A** (like in GMRES).

Let $\|v\|_A := v^T A v$ “ A -norm” of a vector; the quantity that is minimized at each step. It makes sense if A is posdef.

$x_n \in K_n(A, b) \iff x_n = p(A)b$ for a polynomial p of degree $< n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_n \in K_n(A, b)} \|x - x_n\|_A &= \min_{p(x)} \|x - p(A)Ax\|_A \\ &= \min_{\substack{q(x)=1-xp(x) \\ \text{of degree } \leq n}} \|q(A)x\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

As for GMRES, if A has only n different eigenvalues, then this minimum reaches 0 after n steps. If the eigenvalues of A are ‘clustered’, one can construct polynomials such that $q(\lambda)$ is small for each $\lambda \implies$ fast convergence.