Model-based Reinforcement Learning

DAVIDE BACCIU – BACCIU@DI.UNIPI.IT

UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA

Outline

- ✓ Introduction
- ✓ Model-based reinforcement learning
- ✓ Integrated Architectures
  - ✓ Learning and Planning
  - ✓ Real and simulated experience
- ✓ Monte-Carlo Tree Search
- ✓ Go use case

Introduction

Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

- ✓ Previous lecture
  - ✓ Learn value function directly from experience
  - ✓ Learn policy directly from experience
  - ✓ Model-free RL
- ✓ Today
  - ✓ Learn model directly from experience
  - ✓ Use planning to construct a value function or policy
  - ✓ Model-based RL
- ✓ Integrate learning and planning into a single architecture

Model-Based & Model-Free RL

- ✓ Model-Free RL
  - ✓ No model
  - ✓ Learn value function (and/or policy) from experience
- ✓ Model-Based RL
  - ✓ Learn a model from experience
  - ✓ Plan value function (and/or policy) from model



Model-Free RL



Model-based RL

Model-based RL

Model-based RL - Lifecycle



Model-Based RL – Pros and Cons

- ✓ Advantages
  - ✓ Can efficiently learn model by supervised learning methods
  - ✓ Can reason about model uncertainty
- ✓ Disadvantages
  - ✓ First learn a model, then construct a value function
  - ✓ I.e. two sources of approximation error

What is a Model?

- ✓A model $\mathcal{M}_\eta$ is a representation of an MDP $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{P}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$ parametrized by η
- ✓Assume state space $\mathcal{S}$ and action space $\mathcal{A}$ are known
- ✓So a model $\mathcal{M}_\eta = \langle P_\eta, \mathcal{R}_\eta \rangle$ represents state transitions $P_\eta \approx \boldsymbol{P}$ and rewards $\mathcal{R}_\eta \approx \mathcal{R}$

$$S_{t+1} = P_\eta(S_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)$$
$$R_{t+1} = \mathcal{R}_\eta(R_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)$$

- ✓Typically assume conditional independence between state transitions and rewards

$$P(S_{t+1}, R_{t+1}|S_t, A_t) = P(S_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)P(R_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)$$

Model Learning

- ✓Estimate model $\mathcal{M}_\eta$ from experience $\{S_1; A_1; R_2; \dots, S_T\}$
- ✓Supervised learning task

$$S_1; A_1 \to R_2; S_2$$
$$S_2; A_2 \to R_3; S_3$$
$$\dots$$
$$S_{T-1}; A_{T-1} \to R_T; S_T$$

- ✓Learning $s, a \to r$ is a regression problem
- ✓Learning $s, a \to s'$ is a density estimation problem
- ✓Pick an adequate loss function, e.g. mean-squared error, KL divergence, ...
- ✓Find parameters η that minimise empirical loss

Some Learning Models

- ✓Table Lookup Model
- ✓Linear Expectation Model
- ✓Linear Gaussian Model
- ✓Gaussian Process Model
- ✓Deep Belief Network Model
- ✓…

Model Learning with Table Lookup

- ✓ Model is an explicit MDP $\langle \hat{P}, \hat{\mathcal{R}} \rangle$
- ✓ Count visits $N(s,a)$ to each state-action pair

$$\hat{P}^a_{s,s'} = \frac{1}{N(s,a)} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{1}(S_t, A_t, S_{t+1}; s, a, s')$$

$$\hat{R}^a_s = \frac{1}{N(s,a)} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{1}(S_t, A_t; s, a) R_t$$

- ✓ Alternatively
  - ✓ At each time-step t record experience tuple $\langle S_t, A_t, R_{t+1}, S_{t+1} \rangle$
  - ✓ To sample the model randomly pick tuple matching $\langle s, a, \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

The Return of AB Example

✓Two states A; B; no discounting; 8 episodes of experience

1. A, 0, B, 0
2. B, 1
3. B, 1
4. B, 1
5. B, 1
6. B, 1
7. B, 1
8. B, 0



✓We have constructed a table lookup from experience

Planning with a Model

- ✓ Given a model $\mathcal{M}_\eta = \langle P_\eta, \mathcal{R}_\eta \rangle$
- ✓ Solve the MDP $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, P_\eta, \mathcal{R}_\eta \rangle$
- ✓ Using your favourite planning algorithm
  - ✓ Value iteration
  - ✓ Policy iteration
  - ✓ Tree search
  - ✓ …

Sample-Based Planning

- ✓Simple but powerful approach to planning
- ✓Use the model only to generate samples
- ✓Sample experience from model

$$S_{t+1} \sim P_\eta(S_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)$$
$$R_{t+1} = \mathcal{R}_\eta(R_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)$$

- ✓Apply model-free RL to samples, e.g.:
  - ✓MC control
  - ✓Sarsa
  - ✓Q-learning
- ✓Sample-based planning methods are often more efficient

The AB Example - Again

- ✓Construct a table-lookup model from real experience
- ✓Apply model-free RL to sampled experience

Real experience

1. A, 0, B, 0
2. B, 1
3. B, 1
4. B, 1
5. B, 1
6. B, 1
7. B, 1
8. B, 0



e.g. Monte-Carlo learning: V (A) = 1; V (B) = 0:75

Sampled experience

1. B, 1
2. B, 0
3. B, 1
4. A, 0, B, 1
5. B, 1
6. A, 0, B, 1
7. B, 1
8. B, 0

Planning with an Inaccurate Model

- Given an imperfect model $\langle P_\eta, \mathcal{R}_\eta \rangle \neq \langle P, \mathcal{R} \rangle$
  - Performance of model-based RL is limited to optimal policy for approximate MDP $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, P_\eta, \mathcal{R}_\eta \rangle$
  - i.e. Model-based RL is only as good as the estimated model
- When the model is inaccurate, planning process will compute a suboptimal policy
  - Solution 1 - When model is wrong, use model-free RL
  - Solution 2 - Reason explicitly about model uncertainty

Integrated Architectures

Real and Simulated Experience

- ✓Consider two sources of experience
- ✓Real experience - Sampled from environment (true MDP)

$$S' \sim \mathcal{P}^a_{s,s'}$$
$$R = \mathcal{R}^a_s$$

- ✓Simulated experience - Sampled from model (approximate MDP)

$$S' \sim P_\eta(S'|S, A)$$
$$R = \mathcal{R}_\eta(R|S, A)$$

Integrating Learning and Planning

- ✓ Model-Free RL
  - ✓ No model
  - ✓ Learn value function (and/or policy) from real experience
- ✓ Model-Based RL (using Sample-Based Planning)
  - ✓ Learn a model from real experience
  - ✓ Plan value function (and/or policy) from simulated experience
- ✓ Dyna
  - ✓ Learn a model from real experience
  - ✓ Learn and plan value function (and/or policy) from real and simulated experience

Dyna Architecture



Dyna-Q Algorithm

Initialize $Q(s,a)$ and $Model(s,a)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$

Do forever:

(a) $S \leftarrow$ current (nonterminal) state

(b) $A \leftarrow \varepsilon$-greedy(S,Q)

(c) Execute action A; observe resultant reward, R, and state, S'

(d) $Q(S,A) \leftarrow Q(S,A) + \alpha\big[R + \gamma \max_a Q(S',a) - Q(S,A)\big]$

(e) $Model(S,A) \leftarrow R, S'$ (assuming deterministic environment)

(f) Repeat n times:

$S \leftarrow$ random previously observed state

$A \leftarrow$ random action previously taken in S

$R, S' \leftarrow Model(S,A)$

$Q(S,A) \leftarrow Q(S,A) + \alpha\big[R + \gamma \max_a Q(S',a) - Q(S,A)\big]$

Dyna-Q on a Simple Maze

Steps per episode







actions



Dyna-Q with an Inaccurate Model (I)

The changed environment is harder

Dyna-Q with an Inaccurate Model (II)



The changed environment is easier

Learning with Simulation



No need to solve whole MDP, just sub-MDP starting from now

Forward Search

- ✓Forward search algorithms select the best action by lookahead
- ✓They build a search tree with the current state s_t at the root
- ✓Using a model of the MDP to look ahead



Simulation-Based Search (I)

- ✓Forward search paradigm using sample-based planning
- ✓Simulate episodes of experience from now with the model
- ✓Apply model-free RL to simulated episodes

Simulation-Based Search (II)

- ✓ Simulate episodes of experience from now with the model

$$\{s_t^k, A_t^k, R_{t+1}^k; \dots, S_T^k\} \sim \mathcal{M}_v$$

- ✓ Apply model-free RL to simulated episodes
  - ✓ Monte-Carlo control $\Longrightarrow$ Monte-Carlo search
  - ✓ SARSA $\Longrightarrow$ TD search

Simple Monte-Carlo Search

- ✓ Given a model $\mathcal{M}_v$ and a simulation policy π
- ✓ For each action $a \in \mathcal{A}$
  - ✓ Simulate K episodes from current (real) state s_t

$$\{s_t, a, R_{t+1}^k; S_{t+1}^k, A_{t+1}^k \dots, S_T^k\} \sim \mathcal{M}_v, \pi$$

  - ✓ Evaluate actions by mean return (Monte-Carlo evaluation)

$$Q(s_t, a) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} G_t \rightarrow^P q_\pi(s_t, a)$$

- ✓ Select current (real) action with maximum value

$$a_t = \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s_t, a)$$

Monte-Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) - Evaluate

- ✓Given a model $\mathcal{M}_v$
- ✓Simulate K episodes from current state s_t using current simulation policy π

$$\{s_t, A_t^k, R_{t+1}^k; S_{t+1}^k, A_{t+1}^k \dots, S_T^k\} \sim \mathcal{M}_v, \pi$$

- ✓Build a search tree containing visited states and actions
- ✓Evaluate states $Q(s,a)$ by mean return of episodes from s, a

$$Q(s,a) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{N(s,a)}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\mathrm{u=t}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{1}(S_u, A_u; s, a)\, G_{\mathrm{u}} \rightarrow^P q_\pi(\mathrm{s}, a)$$

- ✓After search is finished, select current (real) action with maximum value in search tree

$$a_t = \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s_t, a)$$

Monte-Carlo Tree Search - Simulate

- ✓In MCTS the simulation policy π improves
- ✓Each simulation consists of two phases (in-tree, out-of-tree)
  - ✓Tree policy (improves): pick actions to maximise $Q(S, \mathrm{A})$
  - ✓Default policy (fixed): pick actions randomly
- ✓Repeat (each simulation)
  - ✓Evaluate states $Q(S, \mathrm{A})$ by Monte-Carlo evaluation
  - ✓Improve tree policy, e.g. by ϵ-greedy(Q)
- ✓Monte-Carlo control applied to simulated experience
- ✓Converges on the optimal search tree, $Q(S, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow q_*(S, A)$

Advantages of MCTS

- ✓ Highly selective best-first search
- ✓ Evaluates states dynamically (unlike e.g. DP)
- ✓ Uses sampling to break curse of dimensionality
- ✓ Works for black-box models (only requires samples)
- ✓ Computationally efficient, anytime, parallelisable

Temporal-Difference Search

- ✓Simulation-based search
- ✓Using TD instead of MC (bootstrapping)
- ✓MCTS applies MC control to sub-MDP from now
- ✓TD search applies SARSA to sub-MDP from now

MC vs TD search

- ✓For model-free reinforcement learning, bootstrapping is helpful
  - ✓TD learning reduces variance but increases bias
  - ✓TD learning is usually more efficient than MC
  - ✓TD(λ) can be much more efficient than MC
- ✓For simulation-based search, bootstrapping is also helpful
  - ✓TD search reduces variance but increases bias
  - ✓TD search is usually more efficient than MC search
  - ✓TD(λ) search can be much more efficient than MC search

TD Search

- ✓Simulate episodes from the current (real) state s_t
- ✓Estimate action-value function $Q(\mathrm{s},\mathrm{a})$
- ✓For each step of simulation, update action-values by SARSA

$$\Delta Q(\mathrm{S},\mathrm{A}) = \alpha\big(R + \gamma Q(\mathrm{S}',\mathrm{A}') - Q(\mathrm{S},\mathrm{A})\big)$$

- ✓Select actions based on action-values $Q(\mathrm{s},\mathrm{a})$ (e.g. ϵ-greedy)
- ✓May also use function approximation for Q

Dyna2

- ✓In Dyna-2, the agent stores two sets of feature weights
  - ✓Long-term memory
  - ✓Short-term (working) memory
- ✓Long-term memory is updated from real experience using TD learning
  - ✓General domain knowledge that applies to any episode
- ✓Short-term memory is updated from simulated experience using TD search
  - ✓Specific local knowledge about the current situation
- ✓Over value function is sum of long and short-term memories

GO Case Study

Game of Go

- ✓The ancient oriental game of Go is 2500 years old
- ✓Considered to be the hardest classic board game
- ✓Considered a grand challenge task for AI (since McCarthy)
- ✓Traditional game-tree search has long failed in Go

Go Rules

- ✓Usually played on 19x19, also 13x13 or 9x9 board
- ✓Simple rules, complex strategy
- ✓Black and white place down stones alternately
- ✓Surrounded stones are captured and removed
- ✓The player with more territory wins the game



Position Evaluation in Go

- How good is a position s?
- Reward function (undiscounted):
  - $R_t = 0$ for all non-terminal steps $t < T$
  - $R_T = 1$ if Black wins
  - $R_T = 0$ if White wins
- Policy $\pi = \langle \pi_B, \pi_W \rangle$ selects moves for both players (B,W)
- Value function (how good is position s)

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}[R_T | S = s] = P(\text{Black wins} | S = s)$$
$$v_*(s) = \max_{\pi_B} \min_{\pi_W} v_\pi(s)$$

Go – Monte-Carlo Evaluation

$$V(s) = 2/4 = 0.5$$





Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (I)



Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (II)



Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (III)



Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (IV)



Applying Monte-Carlo Tree Search (V)



MCTS in Computer Go



TD Search in Computer Go

Alpha-Go

- ✓ Combining what we have seen so far
  - ✓ Value function learning
  - ✓ Policy gradient
  - ✓ Monte-Carlo Tree Search

Convolutional neural networks to extract a meaningful state representation



Deep Learning in Alpha-Go

Value network

$V(s)$



s

Is the player going to win with the current board?

$P(a|s)$



s

Policy network

How much preference for a specific move in the current board?

Supervised-Reinforcement Learning Pipeline (Offline phase)



Max likelihood policy on human expert games

Policy gradient on self-play

Value function learning on self-play (by policy)

Simulation Phase - Reducing Search Depth



Using learned value network

Simulation Phase - Reducing Search Breadth



Bias MCTS towards most promising branches by policy network



Progress in Computer Go (revised)

Wrap-up

Take (stay) home messages

- ✓Model-based RL is effective
  - ✓If you know the rules of the world (game) you can use those to simulate experience
  - ✓Can use the model of the environment to simulate experiences
  - ✓Can integrate simulated experiences with real world experiences (Dyna)
- ✓Monte-Carlo Tree Search
  - ✓ Assess the value of an actual state by looking ahead in episodes sampled in simulation
  - ✓ Works for black-box models and it is highly efficient
- ✓TD Search
  - ✓ Update action-state values by SARSA on simulated episodes
  - ✓ As usual reduces variance w.r.t. MCTS but increases bias
  - ✓ Possibly more efficient than MCTS

Coming up

- ✓ Exploration and Exploitation
  - ✓ Simple naïve exploration (ϵ-greedy)
  - ✓ Optimistic approaches
  - ✓ Probability matching & Information Value
- ✓ Multi-armed bandits
- ✓ Imitation Learning
  - ✓ Demonstration techniques
  - ✓ Inverse reinforcement learning
  - ✓ Reinforcement learning with generative models