

Exam

Numerical Methods and Optimization course *University of Pisa, 2017-06-13*

You may use Matlab, pencil or paper, or a calculator (unless explicitly stated in the exercise). You may use the quick reference sheet on Matlab's syntax posted on the web page of the course.

Exercise 1. Let $A = QR$ be the QR factorization of a square matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

- Show that given Q, R one can solve the linear system $(A^*A)x = b$ in time $O(n^2)$. (Recall that A^* is the conjugate transpose of A .)
- Write a Matlab function `x = solve_system(Q, R, b)` that solves this linear system in time $O(n^2)$ as described above. (*You may use Matlab's operator \backslash to solve triangular linear systems.*)
- Write a Matlab function `z = inverse_power(Q, R, k)` that performs k iterations of the inverse power method on the matrix A^*A , starting from the initial vector `z=ones(n, 1)`, and returns the approximate eigenvector $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (normalized such that $\|z\|_2 = 1$).

If you have completed the previous points, try to use the same ideas to obtain cost $O(n^2)$ per iteration.

- What is the value of z returned by the function `inverse_power` on the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 \end{bmatrix},$$

with $k = 10$ steps?

- How is this eigenvector z related to the SVD of A ?

In points b and c, please report on paper the text of the function.

Exercise 2. Consider the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \min & x_1 + x_2 \\ & x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 5 \leq 0 \\ & x_1^2 - 1 \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

- a. Do global optimal solutions exist? Why?
- b. Is it a convex problem? Why?
- c. Do constraint qualifications hold in any feasible point?
- d. Is the point $(1, 2)$ a local minimum? Why?
- e. Find all the solutions of the KKT system.
- f. Find local minima and global minima.
- g. Find the objective function and constraints of the Lagrangian dual problem.
- h. Is $(1, 0)$ an optimal solution of the Lagrangian dual problem? Why?

Exercise 3. Consider the following unconstrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \min & 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + 2x_3x_4 - x_1 + 4x_2 + 4x_3 - 2x_4 \\ & x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \end{cases}$$

- a. Is it a convex problem? Why?
- b. Do global minima exist? Why?
- c. Is the global minimum unique? Why?
- d. Solve the problem by means of the gradient method with exact line search starting from the point $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ and using $\|\nabla f(x)\| < 10^{-6}$ as stopping criterion. What is the global minimum? What is the optimal value? How many iterations are needed?
- e. Solve the problem by means of the gradient method with inexact line search setting $\alpha = 0.5$, $\gamma = 0.9$, $\bar{t} = 1$, starting from the point $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ and using $\|\nabla f(x)\| < 10^{-6}$ as stopping criterion. What is the global minimum? How many iterations are needed?
- f. Solve the problem by means of the conjugate gradient method starting from the point $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ and using $\|\nabla f(x)\| < 10^{-6}$ as stopping criterion. What is the global minimum? Write the vector x found at each iteration.

Solutions

Exercise 1.

- a. As seen in the lectures, we have $A^*A = (QR^*)QR = R^*Q^*QR = R^*R$. Hence

$$x = (R^*R)^{-1}b = R^{-1}(R^*)^{-1}b,$$

which we may solve with $w = R' \setminus b$; $x = R \setminus w$. Both systems are triangular, so the backslash operator performs back-substitution and requires $O(n^2)$ operations.

- b.

```
function x = solve_system(Q, R, b)
w = R' \ b;
x = R \ w;
```

```
function z = inverse_power(Q, R, k)
n = size(R, 1);
z = ones(n, 1);
for it = 1:k
    z = R \ (R' \ z);
    z = z / norm(z);
end
```

- d.

```
>> [Q,R] = qr(A); inverse_power(Q, R, 10)
ans =
    0.5833
   -0.7634
    0.2775
```

- e. The vector z is the last column of V (up to a sign). Indeed, the eigenvalue decomposition of A^*A is $(USV)^*(USV) = V^*S^2V$, so the columns of V are the eigenvectors of A^*A , and its eigenvalues are the squares of the singular values σ_i . The inverse power method converges to the eigenvector relative to the smallest eigenvalue in modulus, which is the last one since the σ_i are sorted decreasingly.

Exercise 2.

- a. Yes, the objective function is continuous and the feasible region is closed and bounded (Weierstrass Theorem).

- b. Yes, the objective function and the constraints are convex.
- c. Yes, the Slater condition holds.
- d. No, since it does not solve the KKT system.
- e. The solution of the KKT system is $x = (-1, -2)$ with $\lambda = (1/4, 1/4)$.
- f. $(-1, -2)$ is the global minimum.
- g. The Lagrangian dual problem is

$$\begin{cases} \max & -\frac{1}{4(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} - \frac{1}{4\lambda_1} - 5\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \\ & \lambda_1 > 0 \\ & \lambda_2 \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

- h. No, the optimal value of the dual is -3 , while $\varphi(1, 0) = -5.5$.

Exercise 3.

- a. Yes, the hessian matrix of the objective function f is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of Q are 0.6511, 1.6041, 4, 5.7448 hence f is strongly convex.

- b. Yes, since f is strongly convex.
- c. Yes, since f is strongly convex.
- d. After 48 iterations the algorithm finds the approximated global minimum $x = (2, -3, -4, 5)$ with value -20 .
- e. After 30 iterations the algorithm finds the approximated global minimum $x = (2, -3, -4, 5)$.
- f. The algorithm stops after 3 iterations.
 - Iteration 1: $x = (0.6167, -2.4667, -2.4667, 1.2333)$
 - Iteration 2: $x = (2.1127, -3.7799, -2.9676, 3.4131)$
 - Iteration 3: $x = (2.0000, -3.0000, -4.0000, 5.0000)$.